

EDITORIAL COMMENT

Postmenopausal Hormones and Heart Disease*

Deborah Grady, MD, MPH†‡
Stephen B. Hulley, MD, MPH†
San Francisco, California

Multiple observational studies have reported that postmenopausal women who use estrogen have a lower rate of coronary heart disease (CHD) events than women who do not use estrogen (1). Meta-analyses of these epidemiologic findings suggest a 35% to 50% reduction in risk of coronary disease among women using estrogen compared to nonusers (2,3). These findings are supported by plausible biologic mechanisms including a beneficial effect of estrogen therapy on low-density lipoprotein and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (4), improved endothelial function (5) and less progression of atherosclerosis in animals (6). Thus, it was very surprising when the results of the first large trial of the effect of postmenopausal hormone therapy on risk for coronary events showed no benefit of treatment.

The Heart and Estrogen/progestin Replacement Study (HERS) was a randomized, blinded, clinical trial among 2,763 postmenopausal women with documented coronary disease and a uterus; they were randomly assigned to receive daily conjugated estrogen plus medroxyprogesterone acetate or placebo. After an average of 4.1 years of follow-up, there was no difference between the groups in the primary composite outcome of nonfatal myocardial infarction (MI) and coronary death or any of several secondary cardiovascular outcomes (7). Even more surprisingly, HERS investigators found that women assigned to estrogen plus pro-

gestin had a 50% increased risk of coronary events in the first year of the trial. Within the first year, the risk was highest in the first four months (relative hazard 2.3; 95% confidence interval 0.9–5.6). This increased risk returned to baseline over the subsequent two years, and risk appeared to be lower in the hormone-treated group than in the placebo group beginning in the third year of the trial. The early increase in CHD risk observed in the HERS trial may have occurred by chance or may be due to some adverse effect of hormone therapy. To decide between these alternative

explanations, repetition of the HERS findings would be helpful.

This issue of the *Journal* contains a report from the Coumadin Aspirin Reinfarction Study (CARS), a randomized, blinded trial to test the effect of low-dose aspirin plus low-dose warfarin compared to standard aspirin therapy for the prevention of cardiovascular events in persons with a recent MI (8). The CARS trial enrolled 8,803 participants 3 to 21 days after documented MI. The trial was stopped after a median of 14 months of the planned two years of follow-up because therapy with low-dose aspirin plus warfarin was no more effective than standard aspirin monotherapy. In this issue of the *Journal*, Alexander et al. (9) used data from the 1,857 postmenopausal women enrolled in CARS in an observational cohort design to evaluate the effect of initiation of hormone therapy on risk for coronary events. Women who began hormone therapy after their MI had a higher subsequent incidence of unstable angina than women who had never used hormones (39% vs. 20%; $p = 0.001$). Interestingly, however, new hormone users suffered death or recurrent MI at a *lower* rate than never-users (4% vs. 15%; $p \leq 0.05$). Follow-up was relatively short (median 14 months; maximum 33 months), but there was no evidence that the higher rate of unstable angina observed among new users compared to never-users decreased over time.

These results differ in important ways from the results of the HERS trial. In HERS, it was risk for recurrent MI or coronary death that increased 50% in the first year of treatment, and there was no clear evidence for any early or overall effect on angina. In CARS, risk levels for recurrent MI and death were *lower* among new users of hormone therapy, and the rates of angina were doubled. These differences in results presumably reflect the crucial difference in design between CARS and HERS: HERS was a randomized trial of the effect of hormone therapy and CARS was not. The report in this issue of the *Journal* on the effect of hormone therapy is an observational analysis that used the CARS data in a prospective cohort design. Many important baseline differences existed between new hormone users and never-users in CARS. Statistical methods were used to adjust for these measured differences, but there is no way to adjust for unmeasured differences that can have a major effect on the outcome (10,11).

Although data from the CARS analysis provide little support for the HERS findings of early increased risk of MI and death associated with hormone therapy, preliminary data from another very large randomized trial are consistent. The Women's Health Initiative (WHI) randomized trial includes approximately 27,000 women without coronary disease who were randomized to receive estrogen plus a progestin or placebo if they had a uterus, and estrogen or placebo if not. The trial is now in approximately the third year of a planned nine-year treatment period. Recently,

See page 1

gestin had a 50% increased risk of coronary events in the first year of the trial. Within the first year, the risk was highest in the first four months (relative hazard 2.3; 95% confidence interval 0.9–5.6). This increased risk returned to baseline over the subsequent two years, and risk appeared to be lower in the hormone-treated group than in the placebo group beginning in the third year of the trial. The early increase in CHD risk observed in the HERS trial may have occurred by chance or may be due to some adverse effect of hormone therapy. To decide between these alternative

*Editorials published in the *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* reflect the views of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of JACC or the American College of Cardiology.

From the Departments of †Epidemiology and Biostatistics and ‡Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, California.

investigators in the WHI issued a press release and wrote letters to participants stating that they had observed an increased risk of cardiovascular events among the hormone-treated women in the first two years of the trial (12). Although no quantitative risk estimates were given, these results would not have been released in this fashion had they not been substantial. Hormone therapy appears to be having the same early adverse effect on coronary risk among these healthy women as among women in HERS with documented coronary disease. Final results of the WHI are not scheduled to be available for approximately four years. Although the participants were men and the dose of estrogen was high, the Coronary Drug Project also found that estrogen therapy after MI produced a pattern of early increase balanced by later decrease in new CHD events that closely resembled the findings of HERS (13).

If postmenopausal hormone therapy causes an increased risk of cardiovascular events early after starting therapy, what is the etiology? Both HERS and CARS investigators suggest that estrogen may cause thrombosis, arrhythmia or ischemia. The increased risk might decline over time if only a subset of users are susceptible to the adverse effect, or if users develop some form of tolerance over time.

In an effort to identify women at risk of the adverse effect, the HERS investigators examined multiple subgroups and found that women with baseline lipoprotein(a) [Lp(a)] below the median had a marked increase in risk of CHD events in the first year of treatment with hormone therapy (relative risk 2.1 compared with placebo; $p \leq 0.05$) and no benefit thereafter (14). In contrast, HERS participants with baseline Lp(a) above the median had less early harm and more overall benefit ($p = 0.04$). However, these findings are post hoc and might have occurred by chance as nearly 100 possible subgroups were evaluated.

Because hormone therapy increases risk for venous thrombosis substantially (15), many investigators have assumed that an early adverse effect of hormone therapy is likely to be thrombotic. The effects of estrogen on coagulation factors are mixed, but reported procoagulant effects include increases in factors VII and X and protein C, and decreases in antithrombin III (16). Estrogen also increases levels of C-reactive protein (17,18), which is associated with inflammation, coronary plaque instability and possibly thrombosis (19). Psaty et al. (20) have reported observational evidence that hormone treatment is more likely to cause MI in women who have the prothrombin 20210 G-A variant and hypertension. These theories are plausible, but currently there is no firm evidence to support them. The HERS investigators are undertaking nested case-control studies to evaluate subgroups of women defined by several serum markers of coagulation and inflammation, but results are not currently available.

Although uncertainties still exist about the early increase in cardiovascular risk associated with postmenopausal hormone therapy, the overall message from the available clinical trials is clear. The HERS trial found no benefit of four years

of treatment with postmenopausal hormone therapy in women with prior CHD (7). The Estrogen Replacement in Atherosclerosis (ERA) trial found that neither estrogen alone nor estrogen plus progestin was different from placebo in the effects on progression of coronary disease measured angiographically (18). A recent meta-analysis of small randomized trials of short-term hormone treatment also found no benefit (21). No randomized trial of hormone treatment has found reduced risk of cardiovascular events among postmenopausal women.

Randomized trials *have* established that hormone treatment causes a threefold increased risk of venous thromboembolism (15), and observational studies suggest that it may increase the risk of breast cancer after many years of treatment (22). In light of these adverse effects, and of the many proven approaches to preventing CHD in high-risk women (23,24), it seems clear that postmenopausal hormone therapy should not be used for the purpose of preventing coronary disease unless future data from well-designed randomized trials document such benefit.

Reprint requests and correspondence: Dr. Deborah Grady, Department of Epidemiology, UCSF School of Medicine, 74 New Montgomery, Suite 600, San Francisco, California 94105.

REFERENCES

1. Grodstein F, Manson JE, Colditz GA, Willett WC, Speizer FE, Stampfer MJ. A prospective, observational study of postmenopausal hormone therapy and primary prevention of cardiovascular disease. *Ann Intern Med* 2000;133:933–41.
2. Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, Hennekens CH, Rosner B, Speizer FE. Prospective study of estrogen replacement therapy and risk of breast cancer in postmenopausal women. *JAMA* 1990;264:2648–53.
3. Grady D, Rubin SM, Petitti DB, et al. Hormone therapy to prevent disease and prolong life in postmenopausal women. *Ann Intern Med* 1992;117:1016–37.
4. Writing Group for the PEPI Trial. Effects of estrogen or estrogen/progestin regimens on heart disease risk factors in postmenopausal women. *JAMA* 1995;273:199–208.
5. Mendelsohn ME, Karas RH. The protective effects of estrogen on the cardiovascular system. *N Engl J Med* 1999;340:1801–11.
6. Adams MR, Kaplan JR, Manuck SB, et al. Inhibition of coronary artery atherosclerosis by 17-beta estradiol in ovariectomized monkeys. *Arteriosclerosis* 1990;10:1051–7.
7. Hulley S, Grady D, Bush T, et al. Randomized trial of estrogen plus progestin for secondary prevention of coronary heart disease in postmenopausal women. *JAMA* 1998;280:605–13.
8. Coumadin Aspirin Reinfarction Study (CARS) Investigators. Randomized double-blind trial of fixed low-dose warfarin with aspirin after myocardial infarction. *Lancet* 1997;350:389–96.
9. Alexander KP, Newby LK, Hellkamp AS, et al. Initiation of hormone replacement therapy after acute myocardial infarction is associated with more cardiac events during follow-up. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2001;38:1–7.
10. Coronary Drug Project Research Group. Influence of adherence to treatment and response of cholesterol on mortality in the Coronary Drug Project. *N Engl J Med* 1980;303:1038–41.
11. Gallagher EJ, Viscoli CM, Horwitz RI. The relationship of treatment adherence to the risk of death after myocardial infarction in women. *JAMA* 1993;270:742–4.
12. Lenfant C. Statement from Claude Lenfant, MD, NHLBI Director, on preliminary trends in the Women's Health Initiative. NHLBI Communications Office, April 3, 2000.

13. Wenger NK, Knatterud GL, Canner PL. Early risks of hormone therapy in patients with coronary heart disease. *JAMA* 2000;284:41-3.
14. Shlipak MG, Simon JA, Vittinghoff E, et al. Estrogen and progestin, lipoprotein(a), and the risk of recurrent coronary heart disease events after menopause. *JAMA* 2000;283:1845-52.
15. Grady D, Wenger NK, Herrington D, et al. Postmenopausal hormone therapy increases risk for venous thromboembolic disease. The Heart and Estrogen/progestin Replacement Study. *Ann Intern Med* 2000;132:689-96.
16. Nabulsi A, Folsom A, White A, et al. Association of hormone replacement therapy with various cardiovascular risk factors in postmenopausal women. The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study Investigators. *N Engl J Med* 1993;328:1069-75.
17. Paul S, Dean R, Tracy R, Cox D, Walsh B, Anderson P. Effects of raloxifene and hormone replacement therapy on homocysteine and C-reactive protein levels in postmenopausal women (abstr). *Circulation* 1998;98 Suppl 17-8.
18. Herrington DM, Reboussin DM, Brosnihan KB, et al. Effects of estrogen replacement on the progression of coronary artery atherosclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2000;343:522-9.
19. Ridker PM, Buring JE, Shis J, Mattias M, Hennekens CH. Prospective study of C-reactive protein and the risk of future cardiovascular events among apparently healthy women. *Circulation* 1998;98:731-3.
20. Psaty BM, Smith NL, Lemaitre RN, et al. Hormone replacement therapy, prothrombotic mutations, and the risk of incident non-fatal MI in postmenopausal women. *JAMA* 2001;285:906-13.
21. Hemminki E, McPherson K. Impact of postmenopausal hormone therapy on cardiovascular events and cancer: pooled data from clinical trials. *BMJ* 1997;315:149-53.
22. Collaborative Group on Hormonal Factors in Breast Cancer. Breast cancer and hormone replacement therapy: collaborative reanalysis of data from 51 epidemiological studies of 52,705 women with breast cancer and 108,411 women without breast cancer. *Lancet* 1997;350:1047-59.
23. Mosca L, Grundy SM, Judelson D, et al. Guide to Preventive Cardiology for Women. AHA/ACC Scientific Statement Consensus panel statement. *Circulation* 1999;99:2480-4.
24. Welty FK. Cardiovascular disease and dyslipidemia in women. *Arch Intern Med* 2001;161:514-22.